FORD & HARRISON LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW

LA:66345.1

PROOF OF SERVICE

I, Yolanda H. Dennison, declare:

I am a citizen of the United States and employed in Los Angeles County, California. I am over the age of eighteen years and not a party to the within-entitled action. My business address is 350 South Grand Avenue, Suite 2300, Los Angeles, California 90071. On April 29, 2008, I served a copy of the within document(s):

SEE ATTACHED DOCUMENT LIST

- (E-Mail/Electronic Transmission) Based on a court order or an agreement of the parties to accept service by e-mail or electronic transmission, I caused the documents to be sent to the persons at the e-mail addresses listed on the attached service list. I did not receive, within a reasonable time after the submission, any electronic message or other indication that the transmission was unsuccessful pursuant to the CM/ECF system of the United States District Court for the Northern District of California.
- by placing the document(s) listed above in a sealed Overnite Express envelope and affixing a pre-paid air bill, and causing the envelope to be delivered to a Overnite Express agent for delivery.
- by personally delivering the document(s) listed above to the person(s) at the address(es) set forth below.

VIA E-MAIL Brian S. Kreger, Esq. Lamberto & Kreger 160 W. Santa Clara St., Suite 1050 San Jose, CA 95113 Attorneys for Plaintiffs Tel: 408-999-0300 Fax: 408-999-0301 briank@lambertokreger.com

I am readily familiar with the firm's practice of collection and processing correspondence for mailing. Under that practice it would be deposited with the U.S. Postal Service on that same day with postage thereon fully prepaid in the ordinary course of business. I am aware that on motion of the party served, service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or postage meter date is more than one day after date of deposit for mailing in affidavit.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the above is true and correct.

Executed this 29th day of April, 2008, at Los Angeles, California.

Volanda H. Dennisón

26

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

27

28

LA:66345.1

	Case 5:07-cv-04112-PVT		Document 35	Filed 04/29/2008	Page 3 of 3	
1		Jasbir Gill, et al. v. Knowledgestorm, Inc.				
2		U.S. District Court, Northern District of California – San Jose Division				
3			Case No.: C	07-04112 PVT		
4	1	DEFENDANT IZNI		ODM INICS NIOTI		
5	1.	MOTION FOR SU	MMARY JUD	GMENT OR, IN TH	CE OF MOTION AND HE ALTERNATIVE,	
6		PARTIAL SUMMA				
7		GILL; MEMORAN	NDUM OF POL	NTS AND AUTHC	PRITIES;	
8	2.			•	ARATE STATEMENT IUDGMENT OR, IN	
9		THE ALTERNATI	VE, PARTIAL		GMENT AGAINST	
10		PLAINTIFF JASB	IR GILL;			
11	3.	EVIDENCE SUBN				
12			•	MOTION FOR SUN RTIAL SUMMARY	MARY JUDGMENT, JUDGMENT	
13		AGAINST PLAIN			T V C D C IVILITY I	
14	4.	[PROPOSED] ORI	DER GRANTIN	NG SUMMARY JU	DGMENT IN FAVOR	
15		OF DEFENDANT	KNOWLEDGI	ESTORM, INC. AN		
16		PLAINTIFF JASB	IK GILL; AND			
17	5.	-			DWLEDGESTORM, AMRY JUDGMENT,	
18				RTIAL SUMMAR		
19	ı.	AGAINST PLAIN	TIFF JASBIR (GILL		
20						
21						
22						
23						
24						
25						
26						
27						
28						
RRISON T LAW	LA:663	345.1	-		VICE RE: DEFENDANT'S MOTION JUDGMENT AGAINST PLAINITFF KEDKAD	